

**USMC INSTALLATIONS ADVOCACY BOARD  
PENN STATE UNIVERSITY  
15 DECEMBER 2000**

The Installations Advocacy Board (IAB) convened in conjunction with the Commanders'/Chiefs' Business Skills Training to take advantage of having base/station commanders, as well as IAB members, present.

Two areas of primary focus were briefed as follows:

**Installations 2020 (I2020):**

- Briefed results of Regional Review Board Scenario Planning Sessions and the enduring themes generated from these sessions
- Presented Draft Vision statement based on the enduring themes. Vision focused on five areas:
  - Basing Strategy
  - Training, Ranges, and Maneuver Space
  - Encroachment
  - Base Management
  - Quality of Service
- Members discussed and suggested changes to some elements of vision statement.
- I&L will edit vision and distribute to members for final comment.
- I&L will present the final IAB vision statement to ACMC committee early in 2001.

**Installation Resourcing:**

- Presented an overview of POM-02 and discussed PR03 initiatives.
- Emphasized importance of terminology in articulating budget needs and shortfalls, defining BOS and OBOS in literal terms that translate to actual budgeting categories (*minimizes 'apples to oranges' comparisons*).
- Discussed ways of focusing installation related issues for principals (I&L and others) to vet in the PPBS process:
  - improve advocacy in PEG process for BOS (none existed last year)
  - ensure the other PRG voters have visibility of the BOS issues and needs
  - IAB must find a way to articulate installation needs to the greater corporate levels, stressing infrastructure readiness equally with other readiness needs.
- IAB touch points in the PPBS cycle:

- December - develop PR issues, solicit input from RRBs
- Apr/May - T-PR; solicit input from RRBs
- August - pre-Core analysis; solicit input from RRBs
- April - T-POM; solicit input from RRBs

**East/West Coast RRB Observations:**

- MajGen Hanlon, WCRRB, spoke to the momentum the WCRRB is gaining and the power they project in the region as a body of commanders versus individual commanders lobbying individual interests. The RRB is extremely effective mechanism for keeping informed of the issues, like encroachment, facing the west coast installations.

- WCRRB has invited Navy to participate in WCRRBs and is establishing contacts with Army and Air Force to improve relationships with other services on regional issues. They've also networked with the State of CA (CRC) and see all these relationships ultimately increasing their ability to influence the legislative processes and political decisions affecting western area bases/stations.

- Utilities deregulation is a problem. Water is going to be an issue in the future. Not at Pendleton but for Miramar and MCRD who have to buy their water.

- Need to look at impact of deregulation on privatization of utilities; timeline may need to be bumped further out. [I&L(LF) follow up]

- MajGen Braaten stated next meeting of ECRRB would focus on regionalization opportunities, encroachment issues, and emphasize safety.

**Installation Commander Issues:**

- Critical that DOTES handle programming infrastructure and manpower requirements to go with new systems; MCCDC is fine tuning internal procedures for determining requirements. Ex: NMCI facility at Quantico...no space, no funds, no insight at installation level of requirement; lack of facility support for radars at 29 Palms and Yuma.

- Cost of NMCI to bases and stations is great concern.

- Range management has to be dealt with.

- Need for corporate decision on housing regarding placement of funding given geographic considerations; for example, should we focus MCON on east coast and PPV on west coast?

- COMCABWEST BRI personnel issue that would move 800 billets from the SE --- Miramar would lose 11 officers (9 pilots and 2 Col's). They cannot staff to 80% of their MEO. It's a

problem that needs to be addressed and some direction provided.  
[I&L(LR) will work.]

- COMCABEAST reiterated, addressing the T/O to FAP relationship (27 officers to 100 enlisted), and, as an example, how we were going to experience trouble flying JOSAC aircraft if you take away this many officers.

- Expressed concern over the draft MCO on Innovative Readiness Training and its unintended consequences. [I&L will work with TECOM.]

**Next IAB Meeting:** Members agreed to hold the next one out west. Tentatively plan for August 01 (to the extent practical geared to changes of command such that current and future CG's/Co's could attend).

G. S. MCKISSOCK  
Chair

{POC: JoAnn Callaway, DSN 225-6824, email: callawayjw@hqmc.usmc.mil}

